arXiv:1604.07533v1 [math.FA] 26 Apr 2016

A Characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Schwartz-Bruhat space of locally compact Abelian groups

R. Lakshmi Lavanya

Abstract. We obtain a characterisation of the Fourier transform on the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on locally compact Abelian groups. The result states that any appropriately additive bijection of the Schwartz space onto itself, which interchanges convolution and pointwise products is essentially the Fourier transform. The proof of this result is very similar to that obtained by the author recently for the Euclidean Fourier transform.

1. Introduction

The properties of the Fourier transform on various locally compact groups with respect to different operations on function spaces on these groups have been well understood. The interaction between the Fourier transform and the translations on the groups, and with certain products on the functions defined on these groups have been used to obtain characterisations of the Fourier transform. For more details, refer to [**1**],[**2**],[**4**],[**5**],[**7**]-[**11**] and the references therein.

In 2008, S. Alesker, S. Artstein-Avidan and V. Milman[**2**] characterised the Euclidean Fourier transform with the hypothesis involving only algebraic properties of the map on the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bijection which admits a bijective extension $T' : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$T(f * \varphi) = T(f)T(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad T(f \cdot \varphi) = T(f) * T(\varphi).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A25,43A15,42A38.

Key words and phrases. Fourier transform, Schwartz-Bruhat space.

Then T is essentially the Fourier transform: that is, for some matrix $B \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ with $|det\ B| = 1$, we have either $Tf = \mathcal{F}(f \circ B)$ or $Tf = \mathcal{F}(\overline{f \circ B})$ for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

As the authors of the above result had remarked, the hypotheses of this result involves only *algebraic* properties of the map on the class of tempered distributions, while the conclusion states that the map is essentially the Fourier transform.

The above result led to a characterisation of the Fourier transform on the Schwartz space of the Heisenberg group[**11**]. This result did not involve the tempered distributions in its hypothesis. The anonymous referee of [**11**] asked an interesting question, namely, if for the Euclidean Fourier transform also, one could obtain a characterisation without any assumptions on the tempered distributions. This question was answered in affirmation in [**10**] via the following result:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a bijection satisfying the following conditions for all functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:*

(a): $T(f+\overline{g}) = T(f)+[T(g)]^*$, *where* $[Tg]^*(x) = \overline{Tg(-x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
(b): $T(f \cdot g) = T(f) * T(g)$,
(c): $T(f * g) = T(g) \cdot T(g)$.

Then there exists a matrix $B \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$, with $|det\ B| = 1$ such that either $Tf = \mathcal{F}(f \circ B)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, or $Tf = \mathcal{F}(\overline{f \circ B})$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Hereon, we write LCA groups for locally compact Abelian groups.

It is interesting to know that the above result holds good for a general LCA group, with minor changes to its proof. In this paper, we state and prove this characterisation of the Fourier transform on LCA groups. We will discuss analogous characterisations on non-Abelian groups in a future work.

Before stating our results, we recall the Schwartz-Bruhat space of functions on a locally compact Abelian group.

F. Bruhat[**3**] extended the notion of a smooth function to a large class of groups, which encompasses the LCA groups. 1n 1975, M.S. Osborne characterised the Schwartz-Bruhat space of functions on a LCA group in terms of the asymptotic behavior of the function and its

Fourier transform.

Let G be a locally compact Abelian group, and $\widehat{G}$, the unitary dual of G. We use lowercase alphabets x, y for elements of G, the letters f, g, h for functions on G, and the lowercase Greek letters α, β for complex numbers. We denote the product of two elements $x, y \in G$ as xy. We use the notation dx and $d\xi$ for the Haar measures on G and $\widehat{G}$ respectively. For $x \in G$, and $\xi \in \widehat{G}$, we denote this duality by $\langle x, \xi \rangle$. For an integrable function f on G, its Fourier transform is defined as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_G f(x)\ \overline{\langle x, \xi \rangle}\ dx,$$

where dx denotes the Haar measure on G.

Let $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$. Then the Fourier transform satisfies

$$\widehat{f^*}(\xi) = [\widehat{f}]^*(\xi), \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \widehat{G}.$$

We now recall the definition of $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(G)$, the Schwartz-Bruhat space of functions on G. Suppose G is an elementary group, i.e., G is of the form $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times F$, where $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, and F is a finite Abelian group. A function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong the Schwartz-Bruhat space if f satisfies the following conditions:

(a): $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$.
(b): $P(\partial)f \in L^\infty(G)$ for all polynomial differential operators $P(\partial)$, where the polynomial is in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^k$ variables.

For any locally compact Abelian group G, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \lim_{\rightarrow}\ \mathcal{S}(H/K),$$

where the direct limit is taken over all pairs (H, K) of subgroups of G such that

(i): The subgroup H is open and compactly generated,
(ii): The subgroup K is compact
(iii): The quotient H/K is a Lie group.

For a more detailed definition of the space $\mathcal{S}(G)$, we refer the reader to [**14**], where the following result was proved.

THEOREM 1.3. [**14**] *The Fourier transform maps $\mathcal{S}(G)$ isomorphically onto $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ and $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$.*

For a function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$, the support of f, denoted *Supp* f, is defined as

$$Supp\ f := Closure(\{x \in G : f(x) \neq 0\}).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}(G) : Supp\ f \ \text{ is } \ \text{compact}\}$. Then the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(G)$.

For functions $f, g : G \to C$, let

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)(x) &= f \cdot g(x) = f(x)g(x)\\ (f * g)(x) &= \int_G f(xy^{-1})\ g(y)\ dy\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ forms an algebra with the above products of functions.

Also, the Fourier transform satisfies

$$\widehat{(f * g)} = \widehat{f}\ \widehat{g}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{(f \cdot g)} = \widehat{f} * \widehat{g}, \ \text{ for all } \ f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G).$$

We now proceed to our main result.

2. A Characterisation of Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(G)$

Our results are influenced by the those of Alesker et al.[**2**] and their interesting proofs.

The proof of the following result is very much similar to that of Theorem 1.2 given in [**10**], except for some minor variations. For the sake of completeness, we present the proof here.
Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $T : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$ be a bijection satisfying the following conditions for all functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$:*

(a): $T(f + g^*) = T(f) + [T(g)]^*$,
(b): $T(f \cdot g) = T(f) * T(g)$,
(c): $T(f * g) = T(g) \cdot T(g)$.

Then there exists a measure-preserving homeomorphism ψ on G such that either $Tf = \widehat{(f \circ \psi)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, or $Tf = \widehat{\widehat{(f \circ \psi)}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

PROOF. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, we have $Tf \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$. Since the Fourier transform is a bijection of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{G})$, there exists unique $g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ with $Tf = \widehat{g}$. Define a map $U : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{S}(G)$ as $Uf := g$ if $Tf = \widehat{g}$. Then $Tf = \widehat{(Uf)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. The map U is a bijection of $\mathcal{S}$ onto itself and satisfies the following conditions for all functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$:

(1) $U(f + g^*) = U(f) + [U(g)]^*$,

(2) $U(f \cdot g) = U(f) \cdot U(g)$,
(3) $U(f * g) = U(f) * U(g)$.

The theorem is a then a consequence of the following result, which gives a precise description of the map U. □

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $U : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathcal{S}(G)$ be a bijection satisfying the following conditions for all functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$:*

(1) $U(f + g^*) = U(f) + [U(g)]^*$,
(2) $U(f \cdot g) = U(f) \cdot U(g)$,
(3) $U(f * g) = U(f) * U(g)$.

Then there exists a measure-preserving homeomorphism $\psi : G \to G$ such that either $Uf = f \circ \psi$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, or $Uf = \overline{f \circ \psi}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

PROOF. We prove the result in 12 steps.
For $x_0 \in G$, define

$$C(x_0) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}(G) : x_0 \in Supp\ f\}.$$

Step 1. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$. If $g = 1$ on $Supp\ f$, then $Ug = 1$ on $Supp\ Uf$.

Proof of Step 1. Since $g = 1$ on $Supp\ f$, we have $f \cdot g = f$. This gives $Uf = U(f \cdot g) = Uf \cdot Ug$, and so $Ug = 1$ on the set $\{x : Uf(x) \neq 0\}$.

Let $x \in Supp\, Uf$ with $Uf(x) = 0$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq G$ with $Uf(x_k) \neq 0$ for all k and $x_k \to x$ as $k \to \infty$. Since $Uf(x_k) \neq 0$, we have $Ug(x_k) = 1$ for all k. Hence $Ug(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} Ug(x_k) = 1$. Thus $Ug = 1$ on $Supp\ Uf$.

Step 2. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$, then $Uf \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$.

Proof of Step 2. Choose $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Choose $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g = 1$ on $Supp\ f$. By Step 1, $Ug = 1$ on $Supp\ Uf$. Since $Ug \in \mathcal{S}$, we get $Supp\ f$ is compact.

Step 3. For any $x_0 \in G$, there exists $y_0 \in G$ such that $Uf \in C(y_0)$ whenever $f \in C(x_0)$.

Proof of Step 3. Let $E := \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f(x_0) \neq 0\}$. Fix a function $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ with $g(x_0) \neq 0$. By Step 2, we have $K := Supp\ Ug$ is compact.

For $f \in E$, define $K_f := K \cap Supp\, Uf$. For functions $f_0 := g, f_1, \cdots, f_k \in E$, we have $\prod_{j=0}^k f_j \not\equiv 0$, and so $\prod_{j=0}^k Uf_j = U(\prod_{j=0}^k f_j) \not\equiv 0$, which

gives $\cap_{j=0}^{k} K_{f_j} \neq \emptyset$. This means, the collection $\{K_f : f \in E\}$ of closed subsets of K has finite intersection property. Since K is compact, this gives $\bigcap_{f\in E} K_f \neq \emptyset$. Let $y_0 \in \bigcap_{f\in E} K_f$.

Claim. If $f \in C(x_0)$, then $Uf \in C(y_0)$.

Proof of Claim. We prove the claim in two separate cases.

Case 1. $f(x_0) \neq 0$.
Then f never vanishes on a neighborhood, say, V of x_0. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $f \cdot g = 1$ on V. Choose $h \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $h = 1$ on a neighborhood W of x_0, and satisfies $W \subseteq Supp\ h \subseteq V$. Since $f \cdot g = 1$ on $Supp\ h$, by Step 1, we get $U(f \cdot g) = Uf \cdot Ug = 1$ on $Supp\ Uh$. Since $h \in E$, by definition, $y_0 \in Supp\ Uh$. This implies $Uf(y_0) \neq 0$, and hence $Uf \in C(y_0)$.

Note that all our arguments till now can be applied to the map U^{-1} as well, and so we have proved that $f(x_0) \neq 0$ if and only if $Uf(y_0) \neq 0$.

A function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to satisfy the condition $(\star)$ if the following holds:

$$(\star) \qquad f(x_0) = 0 \ \text{ if and only if } \ Uf(y_0) = 0.$$

By the above discussion, we have that all functions in $\mathcal{S}$ satisfy condition $(\star)$.

Case 2. $f(x_0) = 0$.

Suppose $Uf \notin C(y_0)$. Then there is a neighbourhood, say W, of y_0 such that Uf vanishes identically on W. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}$ with $Supp\ h \subseteq W$, and $h(y_0) \neq 0$. There exists unique function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ with $Ug = h$. Then $U(f \cdot g) = Uf \cdot Ug = Uf \cdot h \equiv 0$. This gives $f \cdot g \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, since $Ug(y_0) = h(y_0) \neq 0$, by Condition $(\star)$, we have $g(x_0) \neq 0$, and so g is never zero near x_0. Since $x_0 \in Supp\ f$, this implies $f \cdot g \not\equiv 0$, a contradiction. Thus $Uf \in C(y_0)$.

Step 4. Define a map $\varphi : G \to G$ as follows: $\varphi(x) = y$ if $Uf \in C(y)$ whenever $f \in C(x)$. Then the map φ is well-defined.

Proof of Step 4. Suppose for some $x_0 \in G$, we have $\varphi(x_0) = y_1$ and $\varphi(x_0) = y_2$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$. Let V_1 and V_2 be disjoint neighborhoods of y_1 and y_2, respectively. There exists functions g_1 and g_2 in $\mathcal{S}$ which are supported in V_1 and V_2, respectively, such that $g_1(y_1) \neq 0$ and $g_2(y_2) \neq 0$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with $Uf_1 = g_1$ and $Uf_2 = g_2$. Then $0 \equiv g_1 \cdot g_2 = Uf_1 \cdot Uf_2 = U(f_1 \cdot f_2)$ and so $f_1 \cdot f_2 \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, as $g(y_j) = Uf_j(y_j) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2$, we have by Condition $(\star)$ that $f_j(x_0) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2$, which is in contradiction to $f_1 \cdot f_2 \equiv 0$.

Step 5. The map $\varphi : G \to G$ is a bijection.

Proof of Step 5. The hypotheses of the theorem hold good for the map U^{-1} as well. Applying the preceding steps to the map U^{-1} gives rise to a well-defined function, say, $\psi : G \to G$. Then $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$, proving that φ is bijective.

Our observations can be summarised as:

$$\varphi(Supp\ f) = Supp\ Uf, \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Step 6. The map φ is a homeomorphism of G onto itself.

Proof of Step 6. First we prove that φ is continuous. Suppose not. Then there exist $x \in G$, and sequence $\{x_k\}$ in G with $x_k \to x$ as $k \to \infty$, but $\varphi(x_k)$ does not converge to $\varphi(x)$.

Let V be a neighborhood of $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(x_k) \notin V$ for any k. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}$ with $Supp\ h \subseteq V$, and $h[\varphi(x)] = 1$. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $Ug = h$. Then $\varphi(x_k) \notin Supp\ Ug$ for any k, and so $x_k \notin Supp\ g$ for any k. This gives $g(x_k) = 0$ for all k, implying $g(x) = 0$, which is not possible by Condition $(\star)$, since $Ug[\varphi(x)] = 1$.

We observe that the above argument holds good when the maps U and φ are replaced with U^{-1} and φ^{-1} respectively, yielding that $\varphi : G \to G$ is a homeomorphism.

Step 7. The map φ satisfies $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof of Step 7. Suppose $\varphi(xy) \neq \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for some $x, y \in G$.

Then there exist disjoint neighborhoods $V_{xy}, V_{x\diamond y}$ with $\varphi(xy) \in V_{xy}$ and $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \in V_{x\diamond y}$. By continuity of the map φ, this gives rise to a neighborhood $W_{xy,1}$ of xy with $\varphi(W_{xy,1}) \subseteq V_{xy}$. By continuity of multiplication in G, we get neighborhoods $W_{x,1}, W_{y,1}$ of x and y, respectively, such that $W_{x,1}W_{y,1} \subseteq W_{xy,1}$. Thus

$$\varphi(W_{x,1}W_{y,1}) \subseteq \varphi(W_{xy,1}) \subseteq V_{xy}. \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, by continuity of multiplication in G, we have $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) \in V_{x\diamond y}$ gives neighborhoods $V_{x,2}, V_{y,2}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) \in V_{x,2},\ \varphi(y) \in V_{y,2} \text{ and } V_{x,2}\, V_{y,2} \subseteq V_{x\diamond y}. \tag{2.2}$$

This implies there exist neighborhoods $W_{x,2}, W_{y,2}$ of x and y, respectively, with $\varphi(W_{x,2}) \subseteq V_{x,2}$ and $\varphi(W_{y,2}) \subseteq V_{y,2}$.

Define $W_x = W_{x,1} \cap W_{x,2},\ W_y = W_{y,1} \cap W_{y,2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(W_x) &\subseteq \varphi(W_{x,2}) \subseteq V_{x,2} = V_x \ (say) \\ \varphi(W_y) &\subseteq \varphi(W_{y,2}) \subseteq V_{y,2} = V_y \ (say) \\ \varphi(W_xW_y) &\subseteq \varphi(W_{x,1}W_{y,1}) \subseteq \varphi(W_{xy,1}) \subseteq V_{xy} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Choose $f_x, f_y \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $Supp\ f_x \subseteq W_x, Supp\ f_y \subseteq W_y$ and $f_x * f_y \not\equiv 0$. Let $g_x = Uf_x$ and $g_y = Uf_y$. Then $U(f_x * f_y) = g_x * g_y \not\equiv 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Supp(g_x * g_y) &= Supp\ U(f_x * f_y) \subseteq (Supp\ Uf_x)\ (Supp\ Uf_y) \\ &= \varphi(Supp\ f_x)\ \varphi(Supp\ f_y) \subseteq \varphi(W_x)\ \varphi(W_y) \\ &\subseteq V_{x,2}\ V_{y,2} \subseteq V_{x\diamond y} \quad (\text{by } 2.2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

But $Supp\ (f_x * f_y) \subseteq (Supp\ f_x)\ (Supp\ f_y) \subseteq W_x\ W_y$. By (2.3), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} Supp(g_x * g_y) &= Supp(Uf_x * Uf_y) = Supp\ U(f_x * f_y) \\ &= \varphi(Supp(f_x * f_y)) \subseteq \varphi(W_x\ W_y) \subseteq V_{xy}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$Supp(g_x * g_y) \subseteq V_{x\diamond y} \cap V_{xy} = \emptyset.$$

This gives $g_x * g_y \equiv 0$, a contradiction. This proves the multiplicativity of the map φ.

Thus the map φ is a homeomorphism of G onto itself, whose inverse we denote by ψ.

Step 9. 'Extension' of the map U to scalars.

Illustration of Step 9. For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\alpha(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$U(\alpha f)(x)\ Ug(x) = U(\alpha fg)(x) = U(f)(x)\ U(\alpha g)(x),\ x \in G.$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $Uh(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in G$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\alpha f)(x) &= \frac{U(\alpha h)(x)}{Uh(x)}\ Uf(x) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S} \\ &= m(\alpha, x)\ Uf(x) \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $U(\alpha f)(x) = m(\alpha, x)\ Uf(x)$, for all $x \in G$. By definition, the function $m(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous in the second variable as a function of $x \in G$.

Claim. The function $m(\cdot, \cdot)$ is independent of the second variable.
Proof of Claim. For $f, g \in \mathcal{S},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $x \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\alpha f * g)(x) &= U(f * \alpha g)(x) \\ (U(\alpha f) * Ug)(x) &= (Uf * U(\alpha g))(x) \\ \int_G m(\alpha, xy^{-1})\ Uf(xy^{-1})\ Ug(y)\ dy &= \int_G Uf(xy^{-1})\ m(\alpha, y)\ Ug(y)\ dy \end{aligned}$$

As the above equation holds good for all functions $Uf, Ug \in \mathcal{S}$, and $U : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a bijection, we have for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\int_G [m(\alpha, xy^{-1}) - m(\alpha, y)]\ f(xy^{-1})\ g(y)\ dy = 0,\ x \in G.$$

Fix $x \in G$. Let V be a compact symmetric neighborhood of the identity e, of G. Choose a function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g = 1$ on V. Then for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $Supp\ f \subset xV$, we have

$$\int_V [m(\alpha, xy^{-1}) - m(\alpha, y)]\ f(xy^{-1})\ dy = 0.$$

Thus $$m(\alpha, xy^{-1}) - m(\alpha, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in V.$$

by the continuity of the map $m(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the second variable. This gives in particular, $m(\alpha, x) = m(\alpha, e)$. As x was arbitrary, the above argument gives that the function $m(\alpha, x)$ is independent of the second variable $x \in G$. We define

$$m(\alpha) := m(\alpha, e).$$

Step 10. The map $m : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an additive and multiplicative bijection, which maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, and hence we have either $m(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all

$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, or $m(\alpha) = \overline{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Step 10. Choose $g \in \mathcal{S},\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $g(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in G$. Then by the condition $(\star)$, we get $Ug[(\varphi(x))] \neq 0$, for any $x \in G$.

Suppose $m(\alpha) = m(\beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$U(\alpha g)(x) = m(\alpha)\ Ug(x) = m(\beta)\ Ug(x) = U(\beta g)(x),\ x \in G.$$

Since U is a bijection, this gives $\alpha = \beta$.

By hypothesis(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha + \overline{\beta})\ Ug(e) &= U((\alpha + \overline{\beta})g)(e) = U(\alpha g + \overline{\beta} g)(e) \\ &= U(\alpha g)(e) + \overline{U(\beta \overline{g})}(e) = (m(\alpha) + m(\overline{\beta}))\ Ug(e). \end{aligned}$$

Since Ug is never zero, we get $m(\alpha + \overline{\beta}) = m(\alpha) + \overline{m(\beta)}$. In particular, $m(\overline{\alpha}) = \overline{m(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, hypothesis(2) gives

$$m(\alpha\beta)Ug(e) = U(\alpha\beta g)(e) = m(\alpha)U(\beta g)(e) = m(\alpha)m(\beta)Ug(e).$$

Again, since Ug is nowhere vanishing, we get $m(\alpha\beta) = m(\alpha)m(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Step 11. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, and $x_0 \in G$, we have $Uf[\varphi(x_0)] = m(f(x_0))$.

Proof of Step 11. As before, choose $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in G$. Then $Ug(y) \neq 0$ for any $y \in G$.

Define

$$h(x) := f(x_0)\ g(x) - f(x)\ g(x),\ x \in G.$$

Then $h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $h(x_0) = 0$. By Condition $(\star)$, we have $Uh[\varphi(x_0)] = 0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Uh[\varphi(x_0)] &= U[f(x_0) \cdot g - f \cdot g][\varphi(x_0)] \\ &= m(f(x_0))\ Ug[\varphi(x_0)] - Uf[\varphi(x_0)]\ Ug[\varphi(x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since Ug is never zero, this gives $Uf[\varphi(x_0)] = m(f(x_0))$.

Since $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$, using Step 10, we get that either $Uf(x_0) = f[\psi(x_0)]$ or $Uf(x_0) = \overline{f[\psi(x_0)]}$.

Thus we get that the map U is as claimed by our theorem. It remains to show that ψ is measure-preserving.

Step 12. The map $\psi : G \to G$ preserves measures of subsets of G.

Proof of Step 12. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f * g)[\psi(x)] = U(f * g)(x) &= (Uf * Ug)(x) \\ &= \int_G Uf(xy^{-1})\ Ug(y)\ dy \\ &= \int_G f[\psi(xy^{-1})]\ g[\psi(y)]\ dy \\ &= \int_G f[\psi(x)\psi(y)^{-1}]\ g[\psi(y)]\ dy \\ &= \int_G f[\psi(x)y^{-1}]\ g(y)\ d(\varphi(y))\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\int_G f[\psi(x)y^{-1}]\ g(y)\ dy = \int_G f[\psi(x)y^{-1}]\ g(y)\ d(\varphi(y)),$$

for all functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. Let V be a compact symmetric neighborhood of the identity e of G. Choose a function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g = 1$ on V. Then for all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ which are supported in xV, we get

$$\int_V f[\psi(x)y^{-1})]\ dy = \int_V f[\psi(x)y^{-1}]\ d(\varphi(y)).$$

This implies the map φ is preserves the measures of subsets of V. As V was an arbitrary compact symmetric neighborhood of the identity, we get the φ, and hence ψ is measure-preserving on subsets of G. □

References

[1] S. Alesker, S. Artstein-Avidan and V. Milman, *A characterization of the Fourier transform and related topics*, C. R. Math Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008), 625-628.

[2] S. Alesker, S. Artstein-Avidan and V. Milman, *A characterization of the Fourier transform and related topics*, Linear and Complex Analysis: Dedicated to V. P. Havin on the Occasion of his 75th Birthday, Advances in Mathematical Sciences, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.(2) **226** (2009), 11-26.

[3] F. Bruhat, *Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p-adiques,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961), 43-75.
[4] P. Embrechts, *On a theorem of E. Lukacs,*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68**(1978), 292-294. *Erratum in* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 375.
[5] C. E. Finol, *Linear transformations intertwining with group representations*, Notas de Matematica No. **63**, Universidad de Los Andes, Facultad de Ciencias, Departmento de Matematica, Merida-Venezuela, 1984.
[6] G.B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis,* Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
[7] P. Jaming, *A characterization of Fourier transforms,*, Colloq. Math. **118** (2010), 569-580.
[8] H. Kober, *On functional equations and bounded linear transformations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **14** (1964), 495-519.
[9] E. Lukacs, *An essential property of the Fourier transforms of distribution functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 508-510.
[10] R. Lakshmi Lavanya, *A Characterisation of the Euclidean Fourier transform on the Schwartz space,* submitted for publication. matharXiv:1604.05551.
[11] R. Lakshmi Lavanya and S. Thangavelu, *Revisiting the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group*, Publ. Mat. **58** (2014), No. 1, 47-63.
[12] M. Scott Osborne, *On the Schwartz-Bruhat Space and the Paley-Wiener theorem for locally compact Abelian groups,* Journal of Functional Analysis **19** (1975), 40-49.
[13] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces.* **32**, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1971).
[14] A. Wawrzyńczyk, *On tempered distributions and Bochner-Schwartz theorem on arbitrary locally compact Abelian groups,* Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. **19** (1968), No.2, 305-318.
[15] A. Weil, *Sur certain groupes d'opérateurs unitaires,* Acta Math. **111** (1964), 143-211.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Tirupati-517 507
E-mail address: rlakshmilavanya@iisertirupati.ac.in